Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

Appropriations Language

For expenses necessary to carry out section 6 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as amended, \$100,000,000, to remain available until expended, to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

Note. – A full-year 2011 appropriation for this account was not enacted at the time the budget was prepared; therefore, this account is operating under a continuing resolution (P.L. 111-242, as amended). The amounts included for 2011 reflect the annualized level provided by the continuing resolution.

Justification of Language Change

In the absence of a full-year 2011 appropriation, all changes are based on the 2010 Interior Department and Continuing Appropriations Act.

Deletion: "\$29,000,000 is to be derived from the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund, and of which \$5,145,706..."

The budget proposes that funding for the Cooperative Endangered Species fund be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Furthermore, the amount necessary in 2011 for the Idaho Salmon and Clearwater River Basins Habitat Account is reduced by \$159,000 to \$4,987,297.

Deletion: "; and of which \$56,000,000 is to be derived from the Land and Water conservation Fund.]"

The budget proposes that all funding for the Cooperative Endangered Species fund be derived from the Land and Water conservation Fund.

Authorizing Statutes

Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). Prohibits the import, export, or taking of fish and wildlife and plants that are listed as threatened or endangered species; provides for adding species to and removing them from the list of threatened and endangered species, and for preparing and implementing plans for their recovery; provides for interagency cooperation to avoid take of listed species and for issuing permits for otherwise prohibited activities; and implements the provisions of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES). Authorization of Appropriations: Expired September 30, 1992.

Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l). Authorizes appropriations to the Fish and Wildlife Service to acquire land for national wildlife refuges as otherwise authorized by law. Authorization of Appropriations: Expires September 30, 2015.

Appropriation: Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

					2012		
		2010 Actual	2010 Enacted/ 2011 CR	Fixed Cost & Related Changes (+/-)	Program Changes (+/-)	Budget Request	Change from 2011 CR (+/-)
Conservation Grants	(\$000)	11,000	11,000	0	+6,000	17,000	+6,000
Habitat Conservation Planning							
Assistance Grants	(\$000)	10,000	10,000	0	+3,500	13,500	+3,500
Species Recovery Land Acquis (\$000)	ition	15,000	15,159	0	+4,487	19,646	+4,487
HCP Land Acquisition Grants to (\$000)	States	41,000	41,000	0	+5,500	46,500	+5,500
Nez Perce Settlement	(\$000)	5,146	4,987	0	-4,987	0	-4,987
Administration	(\$000)	2,854	2,854	0	+500	3,354	+500
Estimated User-Pay Cost Share	e (\$000)	[242]	[204]		0	[194]	0
Total Appropriations	(\$000)	85,000	85,000	0	+15,000	100,000	+15,000
	FTE	17	17	0	+3	20	+3
Payment to Special Fund** (\$0	000)	58,952	53,714		+246	53,960	+246

^{**} Amounts shown reflect an annual deposit of an amount equal to 5% of total Federal Aid/Sport Fish and Lacey Act violation collections above \$500,000 into this Special Fund. The Special Fund amounts are not available in the fiscal year in which they are collected, but are available for subsequent appropriation to the CESCF.

Program information may be accessed at: http://www.fws.gov/endangered/grants/index.html

Summary of 2011 Program Changes for the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

Request Component	(\$000)	FTE
Nez Perce Settlement	-4,987	+0
Conservation Grants	+6,000	+0
Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants	+3,500	+0
Species Recovery Land Acquisition	+4,487	+0
HCP Land Acquisition Grants to States	+5,500	+0
Administration	+500	+3
Program Changes	+15,000	+3

Justification of Program Changes for the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

The 2012 budget request for Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund is \$100,000,000 and 20 FTE, a net program change of +\$15,000,000 and +3 FTE from the 2010 Enacted/2011 annualized Continuing Resolution.

Nez Perce Settlement - Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004 (-\$4,987,000/+0 FTE)

P.L. 108-447 directs a total of \$25,333,330 from fiscal years 2007 – 2011 for the Nez Perce Tribe and the State of Idaho to fund water supply and habitat restoration projects. The final payment needed to comply with this requirement is \$4,987,297 which was provided in FY 2011.

America's Great Outdoors (+\$15,000,000/+3 FTEs)

The America's Great Outdoors initiative was established by President Obama in April 2010 to develop a 21st Century conservation and recreation agenda and to reconnect Americans with our great outdoors. The America's Great Outdoors initiative provides an opportunity for local, state, tribal and citizen partners to work with the Federal Government to protect wildlife, wild lands, and green space in non-

federal areas across the country. It is intended to build on existing federal programs to integrate planning efforts and improve the natural legacy for our children and future generations. The Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund (CESCF) will support the America's Great Outdoors Initiative by 1) expanding conservation activities for our Nation's rarest wildlife; 2) increasing planning efforts to implement a strong, forward-looking 21st Century conservation agenda in partnership with the states, counties, and the public; and 3) acquiring and protecting additional habitats across the Nation on which our rarest wildlife depend. This increased funding will support the following CESCF grant programs:

- Traditional Conservation Grants (+\$6,000,000/+0 FTE) Conservation Grants provide financial assistance to states and territories to implement conservation projects for listed and candidate species. Funding will be provided to states to implement recovery actions for listed species, implement conservation measures for candidate species, and perform research and monitoring critical to conservation of imperiled species. The Service anticipates funding 159 additional Conservation grants with this increase.
- Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants (+\$3,500,000/+0 FTE) Through the development of regional, multi-species habitat conservation plans (HCPs), local governments and planning jurisdictions incorporate species conservation into local land use plans, thereby streamlining the project approval process. Funding will be provided to states to assist local governments and planning jurisdictions to develop regional, multi-species HCPs. The Service anticipates funding 6 additional HCP Planning Assistance grants with this increase.
- Recovery Land Acquisition Grants (+\$4,487,000/+0 FTE) Recovery Land Acquisition grants are provided to states to address habitat loss, the primary threat to most listed species. Land acquisition is often the most effective and efficient means of safeguarding habitats essential for recovery of listed species from land use changes that impair or destroy key habitat values. Recovery Land Acquisition grants are matched by states and non-federal entities to acquire habitats from willing sellers. The Service anticipates funding 7 additional Recovery Land Acquisition grants with this increase.
- Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Land Acquisition Grants (+\$5,500,000/+0 FTE) The conservation benefits provided by HCPs can be greatly increased by protecting important habitat areas associated with HCPs. HCP Land Acquisition funds are used by states and non-federal entities to acquire habitats from willing sellers and are meant to complement, not replace, the mitigation responsibilities of HCP permittees. States and territories receive grants for land acquisitions associated with approved HCPs because of their authorities and close working relationships with local governments and private landowners. HCP Land Acquisition grants are matched by states and non-federal entities to acquire habitats from willing sellers. The Service anticipates funding one additional HCP Land Acquisition grant with this increase.
- Administration (+\$500,000/+3 FTE) The CESCF administrative funding has not increased since FY 2002, despite increased requirements for program oversight and operational costs. Federal grant management and administrative oversight are necessary to ensure compliance with program requirements and purposes. The funding requested for Administration supports these Service responsibilities. The Service will provide additional technical assistance and grant management to administer the CESCF program with these funds.

Cooperative Endangered S	Species Conservat	ion Fund Performance	Change Table

	Lindango						lange rab	_
							Program	Program
							Change	Change
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Accruing	Accruing in
Performance Goal	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Plan	РВ	in 2012	Out- years
7.30.2 # of listed species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional and Nontraditional Section 6)	n/a	676	693	756	667	785	118 (17.7%)	n/a
7.30.3 # of Spotlight listed species benefitting, Traditional & Nontraditional Sec 6 Project Awards	n/a	91	99	86	71	85	14 (19.7%)	n/a
8.3.7 # Candidate Species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional & Nontraditional Sec 6) Project Awards	n/a	89	63	75	53	62	9 (17.0%)	n/a
8.3.8 # Spotlight Candidate Species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional & Nontraditional Sec 6) Project Awards	n/a	9	14	20	11	13	2 (18.2%)	n/a

Program Overview

The Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund (CESCF; Section 6 of the Endangered Species Act), administered by the Service's Endangered Species program, provides grant funding to states and territories for species and habitat conservation actions on non-federal lands, including habitat acquisition, conservation planning, habitat restoration, status surveys, captive propagation and reintroduction, research, and education.

The Service's Endangered Species program exists to implement the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, as amended. The key purposes of the Act are to provide a means for conserving the ecosystems upon which endangered and threatened (federally-listed) species depend and to provide a program for the conservation of such species. The Endangered Species program's strategic framework is based on two over-riding goals: 1) recovering federally-listed species, and 2) preventing the need to list species-at-risk. Our approach to achieving these goals is through the minimizing or abatement of threats to the species.

Threats are categorized under the ESA as the following five factors:

- The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of a listed species' habitat or range;
- Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes;

- Disease or predation;
- The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms;
- Other natural or manmade factors affecting a species' continued existence.

Because most listed species depend on habitat found on state and private lands, grant assistance through the CESCF program is crucial to conserving federally-listed species. States and territories have been extremely effective in garnering participation by private landowners.

Section 6 grants assist states and territories to build partnerships that achieve meaningful on-the-ground conservation. Section 6 grants also assist the Endangered Species program to minimize or abate threats to federallylisted species. The land acquisition grant program elements address land-based threats by preventing land use changes that impair or destroy key habitat values on lands purchased through the grant program. Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance grants assist in abating threats by protecting habitat and preventing the decline of sensitive species, often precluding the need for listing a species under the ESA. Habitat Conservation Plans are pro-active landscape level planning instruments that result in private land development planning and species ecosystem conservation.

In order to receive funds under the CESCF program, states and territories must contribute 25 percent of the estimated

Use of Cost and Performance Information

- HCP Land Acquisition, HCP Planning Assistance, and Species Recovery Land Acquisition Grants are awarded through national and regional competitions. The established eligibility and ranking criteria for the program and the competitions conducted to select grants allow the Service to focus the program on its overall goals and ensure that program performance goals are achieved.
- The Service continues to analyze results from previous years of the program to further refine program elements to better meet our program goals. For the FY 2010 competition, the Service targeted 10 percent of the HCP Land Acquisition funding to support single-species HCPs to further the conservation of high priority species across the Nation.

In 2010, the following were awarded:

- 16 HCP Planning Assistance Grants to States
- 24 Recovery Land Acquisition Grants to States and Territories.
- 12 HCP Land Acquisition Grants to

program costs of approved projects, or 10 percent when two or more states or territories implement a joint project. The balance of the estimated program costs are reimbursed through the grants. To ensure that states and territories are able to effectively carry out endangered species conservation funded through these grants, a state or territory must enter into a cooperative agreement with the Service to receive grants. All 50 states currently have cooperative agreements for animals, and 44 states have agreements for plants. All territories except one have cooperative agreements for both animals and plants.

Traditional Conservation Grants

Conservation Grants provide financial assistance to states and territories to implement conservation projects for listed and candidate species. The Service makes a regional allocation of these funds based on the number of species covered under cooperative agreements within each Service region. Each Region then solicits proposals and selects projects based on species and habitat conservation benefits and other factors. States receive Conservation Grants funding to implement recovery actions for listed species, implement conservation measures for candidate species, and perform research and monitoring critical to conservation of imperiled species.

Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants

By developing regional, multi-species habitat conservation plans (HCPs), local governments and planning jurisdictions incorporate species conservation into local land use plans, streamlining the project approval process. Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants provide funding to states to assist local governments and planning jurisdictions to develop regional, multi-species HCPs.

Recovery Land Acquisition Grants

Loss of habitat is the primary threat to most listed species. Land acquisition is often the most effective and efficient means of safeguarding habitats essential for recovery of listed species from development or other land use changes that impair or destroy key habitat values. Land acquisition is costly, and neither the Service nor states and territories individually have all the resources necessary to acquire habitats essential for recovery of listed species. Recovery Land Acquisition Grants are matched by states and non-federal entities to acquire these habitats from willing sellers.

Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Land Acquisition Grants

The conservation benefits provided by HCPs can be greatly increased by protecting important habitat areas associated with HCPs. HCP Land Acquisition Grants are used by states and non-federal entities to acquire habitats from willing sellers and are meant to complement, not replace, the mitigation responsibilities of HCP permittees. States and territories receive grants for land acquisitions associated with approved HCPs because of their authorities and close working relationships with local governments and private landowners.

Administration

Federal grant management and administrative oversight are necessary to ensure compliance with program requirements and purposes. The funding requested for Administration allows the Service to carry out these responsibilities.

2012 Program Performance

Traditional Conservation Grants

The Service published a request for proposals in November 2010 and anticipates making award announcements in fiscal year 2011, pending appropriations. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that approximately 159 additional grants will be funded in FY 2012 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2010).

The Service awarded 307 Traditional Conservation Grants in FY 2010. Examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCF program, but in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds.

- Annual surveys of nesting colonies-wood stork (Mycteria americana), Georgia \$10,000
- Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum exhibit and public education programs on federally-listed and other rare plants of the Sonoran Desert Region, Arizona \$29,093
- Survey and monitoring of seabeach amaranth, New Jersey \$3,000
- Survey for freshwater mussels, Virginia \$5,000
- Survey and propagation of James spinymussel, Virginia \$21,000
- Technical assistance to facilitate the restoration and enhancement of red-cockaded woodpecker (Picoides borealis) habitat and implementation of the Safe Harbor plan, Alabama \$20,000
- Surveys for threatened and endangered mussels and fishes in rivers of northeastern Texas, \$75,000
- Surveys for additional populations and mapping of suitable habitat-harperella (Ptilimnium nodosum), Arkansas \$5,134
- Estimating the Extent, Stability, and Potential Distribution of Canada Lynx (Lynx canadensis) in Colorado, \$60.000

Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants

The Service published a request for proposals in November 2010 and anticipates making award announcements in fiscal year 2011, pending appropriations. With the requested program funding, the

Service expects that 6 additional grants will be funded in FY 2012 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2010).

The Service awarded 16 HCP Planning Assistance Grants in FY 2010. Examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCF program, but in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds. (Please see http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/Sect6FY2010CASFinal.pdf for a full list of awarded projects.)

- City of Tucson, Greater Southlands HCP (Pima County, AZ) \$299,795. The planning proposal will provide a comprehensive, long-range, regional plan for 14 covered species within a 130,000-acre planning area facing strong development pressures within the City of Tucson, Pima County, Arizona. Completion of this segment of the planning proposal will position the City of Tucson to finalize the Greater Southlands HCP and work with the public and stakeholders as part of the NEPA to develop an EIS and a final draft HCP.
- State of Maine Water and Forest Programmatic Habitat Conservation Plan Phase I (Statewide, ME) \$173,250. This funding will allow the Maine Department of Marine Resources to begin Phase I of a programmatic Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) for Maine's forestry program, wastewater program, and state water rules that covers over 17.8 million acres of forestland and approximately 45,000 miles of streams and rivers. The project is intended to cover the Atlantic salmon but will have implications for many other anadromous fish species. This grant will provide funds for a one-year planning phase to work with multiple stakeholders to develop an outline and scope of an HCP with a timeline and plan for completion.
- Upper Deschutes Basin Habitat Conservation Plan (Jefferson, Crook, and Deschutes Counties, OR) \$407,400. This funding will assist the seven primary irrigation districts in the Deschutes Basin, Oregon, and the City of Prineville in the development of an HCP that will benefit aquatic and riparian-dependant species in the upper Deschutes Basin, including bull trout and steelhead. Work is focused to conserve these species while meeting current and future irrigation and municipal water needs in a balanced, economically viable, and sustainable manner. When completed, this HCP will provide ecosystem benefits to large areas of the upper Deschutes River basin, which includes the Metolius, Crooked, and Deschutes River Basins.

Recovery Land Acquisition Grants

The Service published a request for proposals in November 2010 and anticipates making award announcements in fiscal year 2011, pending appropriations. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that 7 additional grants will be funded in FY 2012 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2010).

The Service awarded 24 Recovery Land Acquisition Grants in FY 2010. Examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCF program, but in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds.

(Please see http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/Sect6FY2010CASFinal.pdf for a full list of awarded projects.)

• Kainalu Forest Watershed Acquisition, Phase II (Maui County, HI) \$1,500,000. This grant provides the additional funds necessary for the acquisition of a perpetual conservation easement over 614 acres of strategic watershed on the eastern end of the Island of Moloka'i. Stretching from the summit almost to the ocean, this connected parcel follows the traditional Hawaiian land

delineation and management system or ahupua'a. The property has several identified federally-listed threatened or endangered species as well as critical habitat in and around the proposed easement area. Listed species benefitting include: koʻokoʻolau (*Bidens wiebkei*), 'awikiwiki (*Canavalia molokaiensis*), kokiʻo keʻokeʻo (*Hibiscus arnottianus* ssp. *immaculatus*), puaʻala (*Brighamia rockii*), haha (*Cyanea dunbariae*), nanu (*Gardenia brighamii*), loulu (*Pritchardia munroi*), *Phyllostegia hispida*, Newell's shearwater (*Puffinus auricularis newelli*), and nēnē (*Branta sandvicensis*).

- Western prairie fringed orchid Steele Prairie State Preserve (Cherokee County, IA) \$259,500. This grant enables the Iowa Department of Natural Resources to acquire 80 acres of prairie habitat in Cherokee County downstream of a western prairie fringed orchid site. Acquisition will protect the area from pesticide impacts and against potential drainage currently threatening the site.
- **Bog Turtle Recovery** (Warren County, NJ) \$300,000. This grant will contribute to the acquisition of 200 acres in Warren County, New Jersey to protect habitat for the federally threatened bog turtle. Permanent protection of sites such as this significantly contribute to reaching recovery plan goals for the bog turtle as well as providing habitat for many other rare state-listed species. This property connects to approximately 800 acres of permanently protected habitat purchased in partnership by the State of New Jersey, the Ridge and Valley Conservancy, and The Nature Conservancy. The bog turtle is a Region 5 spotlight species.

Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Land Acquisition Grants

The Service published a request for proposals in November 2010 and anticipates making award announcements in fiscal year 2011, pending appropriations. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that one additional grant will be funded in FY 2012 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2010).

The Service awarded 12 HCP Land Acquisition Grants in FY 2010. Examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCF program, but in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds.

(Please see $\underline{\text{http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/Sect6FY2010CASFinal.pdf}}$ for a full list of awarded projects.)

- Mt. St. Helen's Forest (Skamania County, WA) \$6,000,000. Columbia Land Trust, as a subgrantee, will acquire approximately 3,000 acres in the Pine Creek watershed within the Mt. St. Helens Forest to permanently protect the highest priority lands that will most benefit three federally-listed species: bull trout, northern spotted owl, and gray wolf. The acquisition of the property will contribute significantly to habitat connectivity in the southern Cascade Mountain Range. Washington Department of Natural Resources will provide land match through the transfer of approximately 670 acres to the Columbia Falls Natural Area Preserve, and the Columbia Land Trust will acquire approximately 800 acres within the Mt. St. Helens Project site as match.
- Acquisition of the Flamingo Waterway Scrub Tract (Charlotte County, FL) \$1,687,531. This grant will enable the acquisition of 40 acres in Charlotte County. This land purchase directly links 500 acres of existing conservation lands in three currently separated tracts managed by the County for Florida scrub-jay and other dry scrub species.

• East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan (HCP/NCCP): Byron to Black Diamond Conservation Corridor (Contra Costa County, CA) \$6,000,000. These funds will purchase 1,800 acres that will provide important habitat and wildlife corridors for many of the 28 covered species contained in the HCP/NCCP, including 8 federally-listed species such as the San Joaquin kit fox, California red-legged frog, and vernal pool tadpole shrimp. The acquisition of these properties adds to the approximately 4,800 acres that have been or are in the process of being acquired, and provides protection for lands that have rich on-site resources and support a diverse mosaic of habitat types.

Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund Performance Overview Table

							Change	Long Term
D. (2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	from 2011 to	Target
Performance Goal	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Plan	PB	2012 PB	2016
CSF 7.30 Percent of recovery actions for listed Spotlight species implemented	n/a	n/a	n/a	60% (762 of 1,261)	48% (605 of 1,249)	48% (605 of 1,249)	0%	40% (484 of 1,219)
Comments	New meas	sure in FY 2	010.					
7.30.2 # of listed species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional and Nontraditional Section 6)	n/a	676	693	756	667	785	118 (17.7%)	339
7.30.3 # of Spotlight listed species benefitting, Traditional & Nontraditional Sec 6 Project Awards	n/a	91	99	86	71	85	14 (19.7%)	41
Comments	This was a new measure in FY 2010. Additional performance would be a result of additional funding for declining species. This represents the number of identified recovery actions for listed spotlight species that will be implemented out of the total number of identified recovery actions for spotlight species, expressed as a percentage.						d recovery	
CSF 8.3 Percent of Spotlight species-at-risk, spec does not meet T&E def, conservation agreements/act	n/a	n/a	n/a	5% (2 of 38)	3% (1 of 34)	2% (1 of 49)	-1%	3% (1 of 34)

Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund Performance Overview Table

							Change	Long Term
Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	from 2011 to	Target
Goal	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Plan	PB	2012 PB	2016
Comments	New meas	sure in FY 2	010.					
8.3.7 # Candidate Species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional & Nontraditional Sec 6) Project Awards	n/a	89	63	75	53	62	9 (17.0%)	30
8.3.8 # Spotlight Candidate Species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional & Nontraditional Sec 6) Project Awards	n/a	9	14	20	11	13	2 (18.2%)	4
Comments		This was a new measure in FY 2010. Out of the total number of Spotlight species-at-risk, this is the percentage we estimate will benefit from the project awards.						

Standar	d Form 300			
	DEPARTMENT OF THE FISH AND WILDLIFE			
	COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECI	ES CONSERVATI	ON FUND	
	Trust Fund Receipts (in millions of dollars)	2040 4 4 4	0044.00	0040 5 11 11 11
	ation code 14-5143-0-2-302	2010 Actual	2011 CR	2012 Estimate
0100	Balance, start of year	236	266	291
0240	Receipts:			
0240	Payment from General Fund, Cooperative Endangered Species Fund	59	54	54
0400	Total: Balances and collctions	295	320	345
0400	Appropriations:	293	320	343
0500	Cooperative Endangered Species Fund	-29	-29	
0799	Balance, end of year	266	291	345
	•	,		
	and Financing (in millions of dollars) ation code 14-5143-0-302	2010 Actual	2011 CR	2012 Estimate
	ons by program activity:	2010 Actual	2011 CR	2012 Estillate
0001	Conservation Grants to States	12	18	22
0001	HCP Planning Assistance Grants	9	9	14
0002	Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004	5	5	0
0003	Grant Administration	2	3	4
0005	HCP Land Acquisition Grants to States	42	42	45
0006	Species Recovery Land Acquisition	17	17	20
0007	Species Necovery Land Acquisition	59	54	54
0007	Total new obligations	146	148	159
0009	Total flew obligations	140	140	139
Budgeta	ry resources available for obligation:			
1000	Unobligated balance brought forward, Oct 1	39	46	41
1021	Recoveries of prior year unpaid obligations	9	4	4
1050	Unobligated balance (total)	48	50	45
	3 , ,			
	authority:			
Discretion				
1101.1	Appropriation (LWCF special fund, 14 5479)	56	56	100
1101.2	Appropriation (CESCF special fund 14 5143)	29	29	
1160	Appropriations discretionary (total)	85	85	100
Mandato	orv.			
	Appropriation	59	54	54
1200	Appropriations, mandatory (total)	59	54	54
1900	Budget authority (total)	144	139	154
1930	Total budgetary resources available	192	189	199
<u>Memora</u>	ndum (non-add) entries:			
1941	Unexpired unobligated balance, end of year	46	41	40
	in obligated balance:			
	d balance, start of year (net):	24-	a:-	
3000	Unpaid obligations brought forward, Oct 1 (gross)	215	215	207
3030	Obligations incurred, unexpired accounts	146	148	159
3040	Outlays (gross)	-137	-152	-157
3080	Recoveries of prior year unpaid obligations,	-9	-4	-4
Ob!! 1	unexpired			
	d balance, end of year (net):	245	207	205
3090	Unpaid obligations , end of year (net)	215	207	205
		1		

Standard Form 300

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

Budget authority, gross					
Budget authority and outlays, net: Discretionary:			2010 Actual	2011 CP	2012 Estimate
Discretionary: 85 85 10 4000 Budget authority, gross 2001/19/25, gross: 4010 Outlays, gross: 4011 Outlays from new discretionary authority 16 17 2 4011 Outlays from discretionary balances 62 81 8 4020 Outlays, gross (total) 78 98 10 Mandatory: 4090 Budget authority, gross Outlays, gross: 59 54 5 4100 Outlays, gross: 4100 Outlays from new mandatory authority 59 54 5 4180 Budget authority, net (total) 144 139 15 4180 Budget authority, net (total) 144 139 15 4190 Outlays, net total 137 152 15 Object classification (in millions of dollars) Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 2010 Actual 2011 CR 2012 Estimate Direct obligations: Personnel compensation; 2010 Actual 2011 CR 2012 Estimate 1111 Personnel compensation; 59	identific	ACTION CODE 14-3143-0-2-302	Z010 Actual	2011 010	ZOTZ EStillate
Discretionary: 85 85 10 4000 Budget authority, gross 2001/19/25, gross: 4010 Outlays, gross: 4011 Outlays from new discretionary authority 16 17 2 4011 Outlays from discretionary balances 62 81 8 4020 Outlays, gross (total) 78 98 10 Mandatory: 4090 Budget authority, gross Outlays, gross: 59 54 5 4100 Outlays, gross: 4100 Outlays from new mandatory authority 59 54 5 4180 Budget authority, net (total) 144 139 15 4180 Budget authority, net (total) 144 139 15 4190 Outlays, net total 137 152 15 Object classification (in millions of dollars) Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 2010 Actual 2011 CR 2012 Estimate Direct obligations: Personnel compensation; 2010 Actual 2011 CR 2012 Estimate 1111 Personnel compensation; 59	Budaet	authority and outlays, net:			
Budget authority, gross			85	85	100
Outlays, gross: 4010	4000				
4010 Outlays from new discretionary authority 16					
4011 Outlays from discretionary balances 62	4010		16	17	20
Mandatory: 4090 Budget authority, gross Outlays, gross: 59 54 55 4100 Outlays from new mandatory authority 59 54 5 4180 Budget authority, net (total) 144 139 15 4190 Outlays, net total 137 152 15 Object classification (in millions of dollars) Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 2010 Actual 2011 CR 2012 Estimate Direct obligations: Personnel compensation: 1111 Personnel compensation; Full-time permanent 2 2 2 1410 Grants, susidies, and contributions 85 92 10 1940 Financial transfers 59 54 5 1990 Subtotal, obligations, Direct obligations 146 148 15 99.99 Total new obligations 146 148 15 Employment Summary Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 Actual Estimate Estimate	4011		62	81	83
Budget authority, gross	4020	Outlays, gross (total)	78	98	103
Outlays, gross: 4100 Outlays from new mandatory authority 59 54 55	Mandate	ory:			
4100 Outlays from new mandatory authority 59 54 55 4180 Budget authority, net (total) 144 139 15 4190 Outlays, net total 137 152 15	4090	Budget authority, gross	59	54	54
A					
137	4100	Outlays from new mandatory authority	59	54	54
137	4180	Budget authority, net (total)	144	139	154
Color	4190		137	152	157
Color		•			
Direct obligations:Personnel compensation:221111 Personnel compensation; Full-time permanent221410 Grants, susidies, and contributions8592101940 Financial transfers595451990 Subtotal, obligations, Direct obligations14614815Below reporting threshold99.99 Total new obligations14614815Employment Summary200920102011Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012ActualEstimateEstimate	Object o	classification (in millions of dollars)			
Personnel compensation: 1111 Personnel compensation; Full-time permanent 2 2 1410 Grants, susidies, and contributions 85 92 10 1940 Financial transfers 59 54 5 1990 Subtotal, obligations, Direct obligations 146 148 15 Below reporting threshold 99.99 Total new obligations 146 148 15 Employment Summary 2009 2010 2011 Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 Actual Estimate	Identific	cation code 14-5143-0-2-012	2010 Actual	2011 CR	2012 Estimate
1111 Personnel compensation; Full-time permanent 2 2 1410 Grants, susidies, and contributions 85 92 10 1940 Financial transfers 59 54 5 1990 Subtotal, obligations, Direct obligations 146 148 15 Below reporting threshold 99.99 Total new obligations 146 148 15 Employment Summary Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 Actual Estimate Estimate	Direct o	bligations:			
1410 Grants, susidies, and contributions 85 92 10 1940 Financial transfers 59 54 5 1990 Subtotal, obligations, Direct obligations 146 148 15 Below reporting threshold 99.99 Total new obligations 146 148 15 Employment Summary Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 2009 2010 2011 Actual Estimate Estimate	Personr	nel compensation:			
1940 Financial transfers 59 54 5 1990 Subtotal, obligations, Direct obligations 146 148 15 Below reporting threshold 99.99 Total new obligations 146 148 15 Employment Summary Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 2009 2010 2011 Actual Estimate Estimate	1111	Personnel compensation; Full-time permanent	2		2
1990 Subtotal, obligations, Direct obligations Below reporting threshold 99.99 Total new obligations Employment Summary 2009 2010 2011 Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 Actual Estimate	1410	Grants, susidies, and contributions	85	92	102
Below reporting threshold	1940	Financial transfers	59	54	54
99.99 Total new obligations 146 148 15 Employment Summary 2009 2010 2011 Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 Actual Estimate Estimate	1990	Subtotal, obligations, Direct obligations	146	148	158
Employment Summary 2009 2010 2011 Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 Actual Estimate Estimate		Below reporting threshold			1
2009 2010 2011	99.99	Total new obligations	146	148	159
2009 2010 2011	Employ	ment Summary			
Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012 Actual Estimate Estimate	p.oy		2009	2010	2011
	Identific	cation code 14-5143-0-2-012			_
			17	17	20